

APPENDIX 1: ANALYSIS OF OPTIONS FOR DELIVERY OF STRIBLING RESERVE MASTERPLAN

Model Option	Governance	DELWP Engagement	Project Management	Community Engagement	Pros	Cons
Non-Negotiables (for all options)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conflict of interest in line with LG Act</li> <li>• Procurement in line with LG Act</li> <li>• Compliance with all other legislative requirements</li> <li>• Delivered in accordance with DELWP Committees of Management Good Practice Guidelines</li> <li>• Decisions by majority via Project Control Group and Project Reference Group</li> <li>• Final plan consistent with Crown land reservation</li> <li>• Cannot be anything but Crown land</li> <li>• One oval only</li> <li>• The Men's Shed is decided</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Written approval of project brief before commencement</li> <li>• Engagement and approval at key milestones</li> <li>• Written endorsement of final masterplan</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approval of approach by Council prior to commencement of project</li> <li>• Submission and approval of Council reports regularly</li> <li>• Consistent with Council's Open Space Strategy</li> <li>• Undertaken within \$50,000 cash budget</li> <li>• Restricted to boundary of Grove Road, Smith Street, William Street and Otway Street</li> <li>• Undertaken in accordance with Council's project Delivery Framework</li> <li>• Undertaken in accordance with Councils Masterplan Framework and Land Management System design checklist</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Preparation of Community Engagement Plan in accordance with:</li> <li>• Undertaken in accordance with IAP2 public participation spectrum</li> <li>• Undertaken in accordance with Council 's Communications and Community Engagement Strategy 2015-2018</li> <li>• All sectors of the community given timely and appropriate opportunities for engagement</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Compliance with legal requirements</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Restrictions to what can be done on site</li> </ul>
Model 1: Council and S86 Committee undertakes masterplan collaboratively	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Council Officers run governance structures and processes</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Council Officers secure DELWP approval</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Council Officers undertake project management</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Council will partner with the public in each aspect of the decision including the development of alternatives and the identification of the preferred solution.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• High Council control</li> <li>• High Council ownership</li> <li>• High community buy in of those involved</li> <li>• High community ownership of those involved</li> <li>• Strong alignment with strategic priorities</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• High Council cost</li> <li>• Moderate Community cost</li> <li>• Low community buy in of those not involved</li> <li>• Low community ownership of those not involved</li> </ul>

APPENDIX 1: ANALYSIS OF OPTIONS FOR DELIVERY OF STRIBLING RESERVE MASTERPLAN

<p>Model 2: Council and S86 Committee leads masterplan and Council provides administrative support</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Council Officers run governance structures and processes</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Council Officers secure DELWP approval</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Council Officers undertake project management</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Council will place the final decision making in the hands of the public.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Moderate Council control</li> <li>• Moderate Council ownership</li> <li>• High community involvement of those involved</li> <li>• High community ownership of those involved</li> <li>• Moderate alignment with strategic priorities</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• High Council cost</li> <li>• Moderate Community cost</li> <li>• Local community may not fully understand all strategic implications</li> <li>• Community not ultimately accountable for outcomes</li> <li>• Low community buy in of those not involved</li> <li>• Low community ownership of those not involved</li> </ul>
<p>Model 3: S86 Committee undertake project</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• S86 Committee run governance structures and processes</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• S86 Committee secure DELWP approval</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• S86 Committee undertake project management</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Council will place the final decision making in the hands of the public.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Low Council control</li> <li>• Low Council cost</li> <li>• Moderate Council ownership</li> <li>• High community involvement</li> <li>• High community ownership</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Low Council control</li> <li>• High community involvement (potential burnout)</li> <li>• High community cost (predominantly volunteer)</li> <li>• Does the community have capacity to undertake the task</li> <li>• Potentially low alignment with broader strategic priorities</li> <li>• Low community buy in of those not involved</li> <li>• Low community ownership of those not involved</li> </ul>